List of Codes

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **List of Codes** | **Count** |
| Codesystem | 4298 |
| CDR measures | 0 |
| CDR in general | 188 |
| Afforestation | 200 |
| Agroforestry | 144 |
| SRC | 152 |
| Rewetting | 186 |
| Perrennials | 110 |
| Cover crops | 144 |
| Leguminous crops | 136 |
| Issues | 2 |
| Rational | 1 |
| For humans | 12 |
| Economic aspects | 20 |
| Costs | 47 |
| Farmers | 43 |
| Who pays? | 7 |
| Food prices | 4 |
| Efficiency | 3 |
| Acceptance | 2 |
| General public | 11 |
| Farmers/land owners | 69 |
| Food production/yield | 39 |
| Effectiveness | 23 |
| Aestethics\_I | 21 |
| Resource demand | 6 |
| Spatial | 2 |
| Already enough ecosystems of its kind | 11 |
| Land-use conflict | 87 |
| Area availability/suitability | 65 |
| Use restricted | 8 |
| Upscaling | 11 |
| Temporal\_I | 4 |
| Time between harvests | 10 |
| Time intensivity | 53 |
| Reversibility/missing long term effects | 34 |
| Implementation\_I | 14 |
| Workload | 19 |
| Missing/wrong incentives | 21 |
| For nature | 45 |
| Monoculture | 47 |
| Several advantages missing | 29 |
| Resilience\_I | 26 |
| Safety | 5 |
| Dependence on other countries | 3 |
| Dangerous species | 18 |
| Carbon/Climate | 6 |
| Does not deal with root cause of climate change | 12 |
| Workload /Effort for farmers | 19 |
| Advantages | 16 |
| Ecosystem services | 0 |
| Cultural | 0 |
| Good conscience | 5 |
| Salvation | 15 |
| Aesthetics\_A | 74 |
| Leisure activities/recreation | 49 |
| Provisioning | 58 |
| Natural fertilizer | 69 |
| Nitrogen | 12 |
| Fodder | 4 |
| Use as resource | 57 |
| Food production | 49 |
| Plant based | 23 |
| Regional | 6 |
| Healthy | 15 |
| Shade | 8 |
| Regulation & Maintenance | 2 |
| Habitat for Biodiversity | 111 |
| Shelter | 10 |
| Oxygen production | 17 |
| Soil health/protection | 85 |
| Carbon sequestration | 182 |
| Water retention/flood protection | 32 |
| Groundwater level | 6 |
| Cooling | 6 |
| Air filter | 10 |
| Economic aspects | 126 |
| Several advantages | 81 |
| Compromises\_a | 30 |
| Temporal\_A | 0 |
| Continuous use | 35 |
| Quick results | 89 |
| Long-term effects | 27 |
| Counteracting destruction | 74 |
| Implementation\_A | 2 |
| Flexible | 7 |
| Easy/No risk | 24 |
| For nature | 47 |
| Leaves ecosystems alone | 11 |
| Workload/effort | 41 |
| Resilience\_A | 32 |
| Sustainable | 14 |
| Area availability | 46 |
| Effectiveness | 32 |
| Wishes | 1 |
| Necessity to act | 64 |
| On large scale | 3 |
| Back to past | 21 |
| Most effective/efficient measures | 52 |
| Start now for next generation | 16 |
| Implementation overdue | 24 |
| Regulation | 14 |
| Getting farmers/landowners on board/support | 46 |
| Compromises\_w | 3 |
| Combine measures | 27 |
| Implement all | 17 |
| Restricted implementation | 4 |
| Different measure designs | 17 |
| Choose measure according to local conditions | 22 |
| Global context | 0 |
| Start on small scale | 11 |
| Global commitment | 26 |
| Germany no role model | 10 |
| Vision of the world | 0 |
| Ecocentric | 3 |
| Evidence of anthropocentrism | 40 |
| Natural solutions | 30 |
| Everone contributes | 11 |
| Costs no priority | 13 |
| Sustainable solutions | 65 |